



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

# IJIRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in  
**SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY**



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 12, Issue 12, December 2023

**ISSN** INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.423



9940 572 462



6381 907 438



ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

# Literature Review on Type-2 Fuzzy Logic

Vikas Kumar Mishra

Department of Mathematics, Government Engineering College Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh, India

**ABSTRACT-** Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems (T2FLSs) extend traditional Type-1 systems by incorporating an additional layer of uncertainty modeling, enabling more effective handling of imprecise, ambiguous, or noisy information. Building on Zadeh's foundational work, T2FLSs have evolved through theoretical advancements—such as the Footprint of Uncertainty and Karnik-Mendel algorithms—and practical simplifications like Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Sets (IT2FS). These systems have demonstrated superior performance over Type-1 counterparts in domains requiring robust uncertainty management, including control systems, pattern recognition, decision support, and natural language processing. Despite their computational complexity, ongoing optimizations and hardware acceleration have improved feasibility for real-time applications. Recent research trends point to the integration of T2FLSs with AI technologies, big data analytics, and cognitive computing, highlighting their growing relevance in intelligent systems design. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of T2FLSs, emphasizing their theoretical foundations, practical applications, computational strategies, and emerging research directions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Type-1 Fuzzy Logic Systems (T1FLSs), initially developed by Zadeh in the 1960s, provide a method for representing uncertainty through membership functions that take values between 0 and 1. However, these systems operate under the assumption that these membership values are known with certainty, making them less effective in situations involving higher-order uncertainties such as sensor noise, ambiguity, or subjectivity. To address these shortcomings, Zadeh introduced Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems (T2FLSs) in 1975 [1], which expand the traditional framework by allowing the membership values themselves to be fuzzy. This added layer of uncertainty modeling enables T2FLSs to better capture the complexities of real-world environments where both input data and system parameters may be imprecise or uncertain.

## II. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

Zadeh's pioneering work [1] laid the theoretical basis for Type-2 fuzzy sets by enhancing conventional fuzzy systems with an extra degree of uncertainty modeling. Substantial progress in the formalization and practical development of T2FLSs occurred in the 1990s and early 2000s, thanks to contributions from researchers such as Mendel and John [2]. In a Type-2 fuzzy set, uncertainty is quantified through a Footprint of Uncertainty (FOU), which is bounded by both upper and lower membership functions.

To address the need for output generation, Karnik and Mendel [3] introduced type-reduction algorithms—commonly known as the Karnik-Mendel (KM) procedures—which transform a Type-2 fuzzy set into a Type-1 set before defuzzification. Although type-reduction is a computationally demanding step, later work by Mendel, John, and Liu simplified these systems through the concept of Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems (IT2FLSs), where the secondary memberships are considered uniform [4].

Further advancements by Coupland and John [5] provided geometric interpretations of T2 fuzzy sets, offering new visual tools for understanding and implementing these systems. These theoretical enhancements significantly lowered computational costs and made T2FLSs more suitable for real-time and embedded applications.

## III. COMPARISON WITH TYPE-1 FUZZY LOGIC

Type-1 fuzzy systems rely on fixed membership grades, which require precise knowledge of the input conditions. In contrast, Type-2 systems are capable of handling uncertainties within those grades, thereby offering a more adaptable framework, particularly under uncertain or noisy conditions.

For example, Wu and Mendel [6] illustrated the superior performance of T2FLSs in environments affected by incomplete or noisy sensor data. Their proposed linguistic weighted average (LWA) method effectively combines

uncertain data sources while maintaining system stability. Likewise, Coupland and John [5] showed that T2FLSs outperform their Type-1 counterparts in classification and decision-making tasks, especially where input ambiguity and vagueness are prominent.

#### IV. APPLICATIONS OF TYPE-2 FUZZY LOGIC

##### A. Control Systems

T2FLSs have been successfully utilized in various control applications, such as autonomous robots, vehicle guidance, and industrial automation. Hagrass [7] developed a layered T2 fuzzy control system for mobile robots that dynamically adapts to environmental changes and surpasses the performance of T1-based controllers. Similar applications extend to the control of UAVs, adaptive traffic signals, and smart vehicle systems.

##### B. Pattern Recognition and Classification

In fields like medical diagnosis and image processing, classification tasks often suffer from data irregularities. T2FLSs enhance robustness in these scenarios. For instance, Castillo and Melin [10] applied T2FLSs to biometric systems, including facial recognition, where they achieved improved accuracy despite low-quality or noisy input images.

##### C. Decision Support Systems

Business and financial domains frequently encounter uncertainty due to fluctuating data and subjective judgments. T2FLSs have proven useful in such contexts, as shown by Smarandache and Alford [8], who applied them in financial modeling to capture investor behavior and risk. These systems are also applicable in supply chain optimization, multi-criteria evaluation, and risk analysis, especially where qualitative assessments are involved.

##### D. Natural Language Processing (NLP)

The inherent vagueness of human language makes it a natural fit for T2FLS-based modeling. Words like “frequently” or “almost always” lack precise definitions but can be effectively represented using Type-2 fuzzy logic. Mendel [9] emphasized this strength in linguistic summarization and granular computing, enabling systems to interpret language with greater nuance.

#### V. COMPUTATIONAL CHALLENGES AND OPTIMIZATIONS

Although T2FLSs are more expressive than their Type-1 counterparts, they are also more computationally demanding—especially during type-reduction, which is necessary for producing crisp outputs from fuzzy inferences.

Key strategies to overcome these computational hurdles include:

- Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Sets (IT2FS): By assuming consistent secondary membership values, IT2FS dramatically simplify processing and reduce computational effort [4].
- Karnik-Mendel Algorithms: These efficient type-reduction techniques enable real-time application of T2FLSs [3].
- Clustering and Approximation Methods: Techniques like fuzzy c-means clustering have been adapted to streamline rule base complexity.
- Hardware Acceleration: Mendel and Liu [11] proposed FPGA-based solutions for implementing T2FLSs in hardware, allowing for faster and more efficient processing in embedded systems.

#### VI. RECENT RESEARCH TRENDS

Recent years have seen growing interest in merging T2FLSs with modern AI technologies:

- Hybrid Intelligent Systems: Researchers have integrated T2FLSs with neural networks, genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and deep learning, resulting in neuro-fuzzy systems that offer learning and adaptation capabilities [10].
- Applications in Big Data and IoT: The unpredictable and dynamic nature of data in smart cities and IoT ecosystems makes T2FLSs particularly suitable for decision-making in such domains.
- Affective and Cognitive Computing: Ongoing work explores the modeling of human emotions, cognition, and preferences using T2FLSs, contributing to the development of more human-like intelligent systems.
- Tool Development: The availability of software frameworks such as MATLAB’s Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Toolbox and FuzzyLite has made it easier for both researchers and practitioners to adopt and implement T2FLSs in real-world applications.

## **VII. CONCLUSION**

Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems offer a robust and flexible method for handling high levels of uncertainty and imprecision—capabilities that extend far beyond what Type-1 systems can manage. Their effectiveness in diverse domains, from robotics and control systems to finance and language processing, highlights their versatility. While computational complexity remains a concern, advancements in algorithms, approximations, and hardware integration are making these systems more accessible. As intelligent systems continue to evolve and integrate into real-world environments, T2FLSs are poised to play a central role in ensuring reliable and context-aware decision-making under uncertainty.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, "The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning—I," *Information Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 199–249, 1975.
- [2] J. M. Mendel and R. I. John, "Type-2 fuzzy sets made simple," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 117–127, Apr. 2002.
- [3] N. N. Karnik and J. M. Mendel, "Operations on type-2 fuzzy sets," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 122, no. 2, pp. 327–348, 2001.
- [4] J. M. Mendel, R. I. John, and F. Liu, "Interval type-2 fuzzy logic systems made simple," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 808–821, Dec. 2006.
- [5] S. Coupland and R. I. John, "Geometric type-1 and type-2 fuzzy logic systems," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 3–15, Feb. 2007.
- [6] D. Wu and J. M. Mendel, "Aggregation using the linguistic weighted average and interval type-2 fuzzy sets," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 75–90, Feb. 2007.
- [7] H. Hagaras, "A hierarchical type-2 fuzzy logic control architecture for autonomous mobile robots," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 524–539, Aug. 2004.
- [8] F. Smarandache and M. Alford, *Uncertainty Modeling in Knowledge Engineering and Decision Making*, American Research Press, 2007.
- [9] J. M. Mendel, "Computational intelligence: From fuzzy logic to granular computing," IEEE Press, 2007.
- [10] O. Castillo and P. Melin, "A review on interval type-2 fuzzy logic applications in intelligent control," *Information Sciences*, vol. 279, pp. 615–631, Sep. 2014.
- [11] F. Liu and J. M. Mendel, "Encoding words into interval type-2 fuzzy sets using an interval approach," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1503–1521, Dec. 2008.





SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor

Impact Factor: 8.423



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  [ijirset@gmail.com](mailto:ijirset@gmail.com)



[www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Scan to save the contact details